How can we predict the evolution of COVID-19 in Belgium?

Antoine Soetewey

April 24, 2020

Table of contents

- Modeling COVID-19 Infectious cases
 - SIR model
 - Fitting a SIR model
 - Reproduction number R₀
 - Predictions
 - More summary statistics
 - Additional considerations and improvements

- A classic epidemiological model
- Applicable to many disease outbreaks
- 3 groups of individuals:
 - 1. **S**usceptible: healthy individuals but susceptible to the disease. At t_0 , S = entire population since no one is immune to the virus
 - 2. Infectious
 - 3. Recovered (or removed): contaminated individuals but who have either recovered or died. They are not infectious anymore

As the virus progresses in the population:

- ► S decreases when individuals are contaminated and move to I
- ► As people recover or die, they go from *I* to *R*



To model the outbreak we need to describe the change in each group, parameterised by:

- β (infection rate) which controls $S \rightarrow I$
- γ (removal rate) which controls $I \rightarrow R$

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\frac{\beta IS}{N}$$
$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{\beta IS}{N} - \gamma I$$
$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma I$$

- ▶ Eq. 1: S decreases with newly infected individuals
- Eq. 2: I increases with newly infected individuals, minus infected people who recovered
- ► Eq. 3: *R* increases with the number of individuals who were infectious and who either recovered or died

In R:

```
SIR <- function(time, state, parameters) {
  par <- as.list(c(state, parameters))
  with(par, {
    dS <- -beta * I * S / N
    dI <- beta * I * S / N - gamma * I
    dR <- gamma * I
    list(c(dS, dI, dR))
  })
}</pre>
```

To fit the model to the data we need to find the optimal values of our parameters that minimise the sum of the squared differences between I(t) and the corresponding number of cases as predicted by our model $\hat{I}(t)$:

$$RSS(\beta,\gamma) = \sum_{t} (I(t) - \hat{I}(t))^2$$

Fitting a SIR model

In R, with ode() (for ordinary differential equations) and optim():
library(deSolve)

```
RSS <- function(parameters) {
  names(parameters) <- c("beta", "gamma")</pre>
  out <- ode(y = init, times = Day,</pre>
             func = SIR, parms = parameters)
  fit <- out[, 3]
  sum((Infected - fit)^2)
}
Opt \leftarrow optim(c(0.5, 0.5), \# find the optimal values)
  RSS.
                           # that give the smallest RSS
  method = "L-BFGS-B", # start with values of 0.5
  lower = c(0, 0),
                   # and constrain them to
  upper = c(1, 1)
                   # the interval 0 to 1.0
)
```

Data

- Dataset of John Hopkins (collection of 12 resources), via {coronavirus} R package
- ▶ Data from Feb. 4 (1st confirmed case) until March 30 because:
 - What is needed are currently infected persons (cumulative infected minus the removed, i.e. recovered or dead)
 - But numbers of recovered persons are hard to obtain and probably underestimated (underreporting bias)
 - ► We thus consider the cumulative number of infected people until the number of recovered individuals becomes non-negligible
 - Which I assumed was $\pm 14 \text{ days}^1$ after lockdown
- Analyses done here are still valuable to see how the virus would have evolved

¹Average duration after which COVID-19 patients are considered as cured.

Application to Belgium



Reproduction number R_0

► Model fits well to the observed data, so we can compute the *reproduction number* R₀ as

$$R_0 = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$$

- Gives the average number of healthy people that get infected per number of sick (infectious) people
- ▶ The larger the *R*₀, the harder it is to control the epidemic and the higher the probability of a pandemic

Reproduction number R_0

In R:

```
Opt_par <- setNames(Opt$par, c("beta", "gamma"))
Opt_par</pre>
```

- ## beta gamma ## 0.5841185 0.4158816
- R0 <- as.numeric(Opt_par[1] / Opt_par[2])</pre>

RO

[1] 1.404531

 On average in Belgium, 1.4 persons were contaminated for each infected person for the period considered

Predictions

• No health intervention and fixed R_0 :



Predictions

► In log scale:



More summary statistics

peak of pandemic
fit[fit\$I == max(fit\$I), c("Date", "I")]

Date I ## 89 2020-05-02 531000.4

severe cases
max(fit\$I) * 0.2

[1] 106200.1

cases with need for intensive care
max(fit\$I) * 0.06

[1] 31860.03

deaths with supposed 4.5% fatality rate
max(fit\$I) * 0.045

[1] 23895.02

Additional considerations

Previous figures must be taken with extreme caution:

- Based on rather unrealistic assumptions:
 - no public health interventions
 - fixed reproduction number R_0
- Other assumptions (more realistic?) for severe cases, ICU and fatality rates
- Data quality
- BUT previous pandemics (e.g., Spanish & swine flu) showed that high number are not impossible...

Improvements

- SEIR model: \approx SIR but infected people I are divided into:
 - 1. *E* for *E*xposed/infected but asymptomatic
 - 2. *I* for *I*nfected and symptomatic
- Modelling the epidemic trajectory using 2 log-linear models:²
 - 1. one to the growth phase (before the peak)
 - 2. one to the decay phase (after the peak)

allowing to estimate doubling and halving times

- Estimate the current effective reproduction number R_e on a day-by-day basis³
- More sophisticated projections⁴

²See {incidence} R package.

³See {EpiEstim} R package.

⁴See {projections} R package.

This talk is based on & complements:

- LIDAM Report (link)
- Blog (link)

Thanks! Questions?